DECISION/JUDGEMENT

TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Nothern District of California on the following X Patents or ☐ Trademarks:

DOCKET NO	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CV 11-04100 PSG	8/19/2011	280 South First Street, Rm 2112, San Jose, CA 95113
PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT
TESSENDERLO KERLEY INC		OR-CAL INC
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF TATELET ON HOLDERS BOX
17. 110 86.7		SEE ATTACHED COMPLAINT
- 6/110/30/		
16,110,867 26,464,995		
-7 1-17		
3		
*		
5		

In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	☐ Answer ☐ Cross Bill ☐ Other Pleading
	☐ Amendment	Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		
2		
3		-
4		
•		

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

CLERK (GNY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE

Richard W. Wirkline Betty Walton August 22, 2011

Daniel R. Blakey (State Bar No. 143748) STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 633 West Fith Street, Suite 700 Los Angeles, California 90071 Phone: (213) 439-9400 Fassimile: (213) 439-9599

Email: dblakey@steptoe.com

4

Q

10

11

13

14

16

18

19

20

5 Seth A. Watkins (pro hac vice pending)
Timothy C. Bickham (pro hac vice pending)
Houda Morad (pro hac vice pending)
5 TEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
1330 Connecticut Avc., NW
Washington, DC, 20036

Phone: (202) 429-3000
Facsimile: (202) 429-3902
Email: sethwatkins@steptoe.com
tbickham@steptoe.com
hmorad@steptoe.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Tessenderlo Kerley, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE P.S.

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TESSENDERLO KERLEY, INC., a

Delaware corporation,

v.

Plaintiff.

Defendant

OR-CAL, Inc., an Oregon corporation,

CV 11 4100

Case No.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Tessenderlo Kerley, Inc. ("TKI"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, files this Complaint against defendant OR-CAL, Inc. ("OR-CAL"). In support of its claims, TKI states as follows: PARTIES 5 TKI is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business at 2255 1. North 44th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85008. Upon information and belief, defendant OR-CAL is an Oregon corporation, having a principal place of business at 29454 Meadowview Road, Junction City, Oregon 9 97448 10 3 Defendant OR-CAL manufactures and distributes crop protectants throughout the United States, including in the state of California, in direct competition with TKI. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 13 4 This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Act.

1338(a), which confers jurisdiction over cases of patent infringement, and under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which confers federal question jurisdiction in general.

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. 8

Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400.
 INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

5.

("the '995 patent").

This is an Intellectual Property Action to be assigned on a district-wide basis
pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(c)

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 8. This action is brought under the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. § 271 et seq.
- TKI seeks injunctive and compensatory damages for OR-CAL's willful infringement of TKI's United States Patent Nos. 6,110,867 ("the '867 patent") and 6,464,995
- 26 10. TKI and OR-CAL are direct competitors in the market for the manufacture and sale of crop protectants.
 - 11. OR-CAL's patent infringement has resulted in substantial harm to TKI in the

form of lost sales and lost profits. Injunctive relief from this Court is necessary to prevent further harm to TKI

1

11

20

23

24

BACKGROUND

TKI's Patents

- The '867 patent, entitled "Method for Providing Enhanced Photosynthesis," 5 issued on August 29, 2000 in the name of inventors David Michael Glenn, Dennis G. 6 Sekutowski, and Gary J. Puterka. TKI enjoys all exclusive rights and privileges with respect 8 to the '867 patent in the United States, including the right to enforce the '867 patent in its own name. A copy of the '867 natent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 10 The '867 patent was the subject of reexamination proceedings, Application 13. Serial No. 90/006.658, before the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"). A reexamination certificate was issued by the USPTO on March 7, 2006.
- 14. The subject matter of the '867 patent relates to a method for enhancing 13 14 photosynthesis of a horticultural crop by increasing carbon dioxide assimilation of said 15 horticultural crop which comprises applying to the surface of said horticultural crop an effective amount of one or more highly reflective particulate materials, said particulate 17 materials being finely divided, and wherein the particles as applied allow for the exchange of gases on the surface of said crop and the finely divided particulate materials have a median 18 individual particle size below about 3 microns. 19
 - 15. The '995 patent, entitled "Treated Horticultural Substrates," issued on October 15, 2002 in the name of inventors Dennis G. Sekutowski, and Gary J. Puterka, and David Michael Glenn. TKI enjoys all exclusive rights and privileges with respect to the '995 patent in the United States, including the right to enforce the '995 patent in its own name. A copy of the '995 natent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 25 The subject matter of the '995 patent relates to a method for enhancing the horticultural effect of horticultural substrates selected from the group consisting of fruits, 26 vegetables, trees, flowers, grasses, roots, and landscape and ornamental plants which 28 comprises applying a slurry comprising water, a surfactant, and one or more particulate

materials, selected from the group consisting of calcium carbonate, hydrous kaolin, calcined
kaolin and mixtures thereof, to the surface of said substrate to form a membrane comprised
of one or more particulate layers and the surfactant, said layers comprising one or more
particulate materials, said particulate materials being finely divided, and wherein said
membrane allows for the exchange of gases on the surface of said substrate.

6

13

14

15

16

18

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

20.

Crop Protectant Products

7 17. TKI manufactures and sells a kaolin-based crop protectant product under the brand name Surround®, for use as a broad spectrum agricultural crop protectant for controlling damage from various physiological disorders such as sunburn and heat stress as well as a growth enhancer. Exhibit 3 (Surround label). TKI has the right to exclude from the marketplace any competing product for which its application infringes at least claim 1 of the 287 patent and/or claim 23 of the '995 natent.

18. On information and belief, OR-CAL has manufactured, offered for sale, and/or sold calcium carbonate sun protectant products for crops, including products ultimately distributed as Mask® and/or Diffusion®

19. The Mask and/or Diffusion sunburn protectant products have been and/or are distributed by Wilbur-Ellis Company, which has its Corporate and Agribusiness offices in this judicial district, respectively at 345 California Street, San Francisco, California 94104 and 1801 Oakland Boulevard, Walnut Creek, California 94596. See Exhibit 4 (Mask label) and Exhibit 5 (Diffusion label). On information and belief, the Mask and/or Diffusion products have been and/or are manufactured and packaged by defendant OR-CAL and sold to Wilbur-Ellis Company which in-turn offers for sale, sells, and has sold these products to others.

OR-CAL's Infringement of TKI's Patents Upon information and belief, application of OR-CAL's calcium carbonate

products, such as the Mask and/or Diffusion products, infringes at least claim 1 of the '867 patent and claim 23 of the '995 patent. Promotional materials for the Mask and Diffusion products describes them as: (1) a flowable micronized dispersion of calcium carbonate, (2) recommended as a protectant against, and used in minimizing, sunburn and heat stress; (3) optimizing and allowing for greater photosynthesis; and/or (4) providing a healthy crop and the best yields. See, e.g., Exhibits 4, 5, and 6 (brochure entitled "Diffusion. The Science of Light Management.").

COUNT I

5

7

8

10

16

18

19

20 21

23

24

25

26

27

28

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,110,867

- Paragraphs 1 through 20 of the complaint are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
 - All claims of the '867 patent are presumed valid and enforceable.
- 23. On information and belief, defendant OR-CAL has contributorily infringed and/or actively induced the infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271, and continues to contributorily infringe and/or actively induce the infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271, of at
- least claim 1 of the '867 patent by (1) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale,
 (2) authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (3) causing
 - others to use calcium carbonate products such as the Mask and/or Diffusion products.

 24. Defendant OR-CAL's infringement is committed with knowledge of the '867

patent and is intentional, willful and deliberate.

- As a result of defendant OR-CAL's infringement of the '867 patent, TKI has been damaged and will be further damaged, and is entitled to be compensated for such
- damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, in an amount to be determined at trial.

 26. As a result of defendant OR-CAL's infringement of the '867 patent, TKI has
- suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm, for which TKI has no adequate remedy at law, unless the Court enjoins such infringing activities pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283.

 COUNT II

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,464,995

- Paragraphs 1 through 26 of the complaint are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
 - 28. All claims of the '995 patent are presumed valid and enforceable.

- Defendant OR-CAL's infringement is committed with knowledge of the '99
 patent and is intentional, willful and deliberate.
- 31. As a result of defendant OR-CAL's infringement of the '995 patent, TKI has been damaged and will be further damaged, and is entitled to be compensated for such damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. & 284, in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 12 32. As a result of defendant OR-CAL's infringement of the '995 patent, TKI has
 13 suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm, for which TKI has no adequate remedy
 14 at law, unless the Court enjoins such infringing activities pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283.

15

16

18

20

21

23

25

26

27

28

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, TKI respectfully requests that this Court enter a Judgment and Order in its favor and against defendant OR-CAL as follows:

- (a) A judgment that defendant OR-CAL has contributed to and/or actively induced the infingement of the '867 patent by (i) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale, (ii) authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (iii) causing others to use calcium carbonate products such as the Mask and/or Diffusion products in the United States:
- (b) A judgment that defendant OR-CAL has contributed to and/or actively induced the infringement of the '995 patent by (i) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale, (ii) authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (iii) causing others to use calcium carbonate products such as the Mask and/or Diffusion products in the United States:
 - (c) A judgment and order permanently enjoining defendant OR-CAL from further

1	infringing the '867 patent by (i) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale, (ii)			
2	authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (iii) causing others			
3	to use calcium carbonate products such as the Mask and/or Diffusion products in the United			
4	States;			
5	(d) A judgment and order permanently enjoining defendant OR-CAL from furthe			
6	infringing the '995 patent by (i) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale, (ii)			
7	authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (iii) causing others			
8	to use calcium carbonate products such as the Mask and/or Diffusion products in the United			
9	States;			
0	(e) A judgment and order requiring defendant OR-CAL to pay all available and			
1	legally permissible damages to compensate TKI for defendant's infringing acts, but in no			
2	event less than a reasonable royalty in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;			
3	(f) A finding that defendant's conduct is willful, warranting an award of treble			
4	damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284;			
5	 A finding that this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285, warranting an 			
6	award to TKI of its costs, including attorney fees, and other expenses incurred in connection			
7	with this action;			
8	(h) A judgment and order requiring that defendant OR-CAL pay TKI pre-			
9	judgment interest and post-judgment interest on all damages awarded;			
)	 Such further relief as this Court deems just and appropriate. 			
1				
2				
3				
١				

JURY DEMAND

TKI demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP

Dated: August 18, 2011

By:

Daniel R. Blakey (State Bar No./143748)
Seth A. Watkins (pro hac vice pending)
Timothy C. Bickham (pro hac vice pending)
Houda Morad (pro hac vice pending)

Attorneys for Plaintiff
TESSENDERLO KERLEY, INC.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Page 8